arXiv:1212.1811v1 [math.AG] 8 Dec 2012

ON THE SET OF INFINITE POINTS OF A POLYNOMIAL IMAGE OF $\mathbb{R}^n$

JOSÉ F. FERNANDO AND CARLOS UENO

Abstract. In this work we prove that the *set of infinite points* $S_\infty := \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S) \cap \mathsf{H}_\infty$ of a semialgebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ which is the image of a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is connected. This result is no further true in general if f is a regular map, although it still works for a large family of regular maps that we call *quasi-polynomial maps*.

1. Introduction

A map $f = (f_1, \dots, f_m) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is a *polynomial map* if each component $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}] = \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_1, \dots, \mathtt{x}_n]$. A subset S of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is a *polynomial image* of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if there exists a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $S = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$. More generally, the map f is *regular* if each component f_i is a regular function of $\mathbb{R}(\mathtt{x}) = \mathbb{R}(\mathtt{x}_1, \dots, \mathtt{x}_n)$, that is, each $f_i = g_i/h_i$ is a quotient of polynomials such that the zero set of h_i is empty. Analogously, a subset S of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is a *regular image* of $\mathbb{R}^n$ if it is the image $S = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ of $\mathbb{R}^n$ by a regular map f.

We denote by $\mathbb{RP}^m$ the proyective space with coordinates $(x_0 : x_1 : \cdots : x_m)$ which contains $\mathbb{R}^m$ as the set of points with $x_0 = 1$; of course, the hyperplane of infinity H_∞ has equation $x_0 = 0$. Given a semialgebraic $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, the *set of infinite points of* S is $S_\infty := \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S) \cap \mathsf{H}_\infty$. Our main result in this work is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a non-constant polynomial map and denote $S := f(\mathbb{R}^m)$. Then, S_∞ is non-empty and connected.*

The present work continues the general study of polynomial and regular images of Euclidean spaces already began in [FG1, FG2]. A celebrated Theorem of Tarski-Seidenberg [BCR, 1.4] says that the image of any polynomial map (and more generally of a regular map) $f : \mathbb{R}^m \to \mathbb{R}^n$ is a semialgebraic subset S of $\mathbb{R}^n$, that is, it can be written as a finite boolean combination of polynomial equations and inequalities, which we will call a *semialgebraic* description. By *elimination of quantifiers, S* is semialgebraic if it has a description by a first order formula *possibly with quantifiers*. Such a freedom gives easy semialgebraic descriptions for topological operations: interiors, closures, borders of semialgebraic sets are again semialgebraic.

In an *Oberwolfach* week [G], Gamboa proposed to characterize the semialgebraic sets of $\mathbb{R}^m$ which are polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^n$ for some $n \geqslant 1$. In particular, the open ones deserve a special attention, in connection with the real Jacobian Conjecture [J1, J2, P]. The interest of polynomial (and also regular) images is far from discussion since there are

---

Date: 06/03/2012.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary: 14P10; Secondary: 14Q99.

Key words and phrases. Polynomial and regular maps and images, set of infinite points.

First author supported by Spanish GR MTM2011-22435 and GAAR Grupos UCM 910444.

This article is based on a part of the doctoral dissertation of the second author, which has being written under the supervision of the first.

many problems in Real Algebraic Geometry that for such sets can be reduced to the case $S = \mathbb{R}^n$. Examples of such problems are:

- optimization of polynomial (and/or regular) functions on S,
- characterization of the polynomial (or regular functions) which are positive semidefinite on S (Hilbert's 17th problem and Positivestellensatz),
- computation of trajectories inside S which are parametrizable by polynomial (or regular) maps.

As we have already pointed out in [FG1] there are some straightforward properties that a regular image $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ much satisfy: S must be pure dimensional, connected, semialgebraic and its Zariski closure must be irreducible. In fact, it follows from [FG3, 3.1] that S must be *irreducible* in the sense proposed in [FG3].

It seems a very difficult matter to provide a full geometric characterization of all polynomial and/or regular images $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ and in fact we only know it if $\dim(S) = 1$ (see [F] for full details). Even so, we have recently presented several works concerning the representation as either polynomial or regular images of ample families of n-dimensional semialgebraic sets (see [FGU1, FGU2, U2]) whose boundaries are piecewise linear; we have been focused on: convex polyhedra, their interiors, their exteriors and the closure of their exteriors. In such articles the proofs are constructive and present two main difficulties:

- To develop an strategy to produce a polynomial map or regular map whose image is the desired semialgebraic set.
- To prove the surjectivity of the constructed map.

Since we do not know a general theory to approach this problem, the "solutions" in each case have been developed *ad hoc.*

Furthermore, in [FG2] we present certain general facts that must satisfy the *exterior boundary* $\delta S := \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S)\setminus S$ of an n-dimensional semialgebraic set $S \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ which is a polynomial image of $\mathbb{R}^n$. In fact, we asked in [FG2, 7.3] about the number of connected components of the exterior of a polynomial image of dimension $\geqslant 2$; to be honest we must say that the first author was convinced that the answer was one. Of course, the first author were wrong and the second author showed in [U1] that such number could be as large as we want. Nonetheless, our main result in this work (Theorem 1.1), conceptually is not so far from our starting wrong conjecture.

To prove Theorem 1.1, we have been deeply inspired by the techniques developed by Jelonek in his works [J1, J2], where he studies the geometry of the set of points $\mathcal{S}_f$ at which an either complex or real polynomial map $f : \mathbb{K}^n \to \mathbb{K}^m$ is not proper (of course, $\mathbb{K}$ denotes either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$). The kind of tools we use to prove Theorem 1.1 were already employed by Jelonek in [J1, J2] and they are the following:

- The resolution of the indeterminacy of rational maps from an either complex or real projective surface to a projective space;
- Sufficient conditions to guarantee that the intersection of two connected complex projective curves of a complex projective surface with good properties is either empty or a singleton; and
- A kind of "rational" curve selection lemma.

For the sake of the reader, we include in Section 2 a careful discussion about how and why these techniques work. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.1; in fact the proof we provide works in the more general setting of *quasi-polynomial maps*, which are introduced there. Finally, in Section 4, we see that Theorem 1.1 fails for general regular maps and we propose some challenging questions.

The authors thanks Prof. Jesús M. Ruiz for many helpful discussions and suggestions during the preparation of this article.

2. Main tools and techniques

In this section we present the main tools that we use in the forthcoming sections. We write $\mathbb{K}$ to refer indistinctly to $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ and we denote by $\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{K}) := \{x_0 = 0\}$ the hyperplane of infinity of the projective space $\mathbb{KP}^m$, which contains $\mathbb{K}^m$ as the set $\mathbb{KP}^m \setminus \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{K}) = \{x_0 = 1\}$. In case $m = 1$, we denote $\{p_\infty\} := \{x_0 = 0\}$ the point of infinity of the projective line $\mathbb{KP}^1$ and if $m = 2$, we write $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{K}) := \{x_0 = 0\}$ for the line of infinity of the projective plane $\mathbb{KP}^2$. We will use freely the well-known fact that the real projective space $\mathbb{RP}^m$ can be immersed in $\mathbb{R}^k$ for k large enough as an affine nonsingular real algebraic variety (see [BCR, 3.4.4]). Thus, in particular, the closure in $\mathbb{RP}^m$ of a semialgebraic subset of $\mathbb{R}^m$ is again a semialgebraic set. Along this work, it will be frequent and fruitful to see real algebraic objects as the fixed parts under conjugation of complex algebraic objects which are invariant under conjugation.

2.a. **Invariant projective objects.** For each $n \geqslant 1$ denote by

$$\sigma \equiv \sigma_n : \mathbb{CP}^n \to \mathbb{CP}^n, \ z = (z_0 : z_1 : \cdots : z_n) \mapsto \overline{z} = (\overline{z_0} : \overline{z_1} : \cdots : \overline{z_n})$$

the complex conjugation. Clearly, $\mathbb{RP}^n$ is the set of fixed points of σ. A set $A \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ is called σ-invariant if $\sigma(A) = A$. It is well-know that if $Z \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ is a σ-invariant nonsingular (complex) projective variety, then $Z \cap \mathbb{RP}^n$ is a nonsingular (real) projective variety. We also say that a rational map $h : \mathbb{CP}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$ is σ-invariant if $h \circ \sigma_n = \sigma_m \circ h$. Of course, h is σ-invariant if its components are homogeneous polynomials with "real" coefficients; hence, it provides by restriction a "real" rational map $h|_{\mathbb{RP}^n} : \mathbb{RP}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^m$.

In what follows, we will use freely usual concepts of (complex) Algebraic Geometry as: rational map, regular map, divisor, blow-up, etc. and we refer the reader to [Ha, M, Sh1, Sh2] for further details. We recall here the following useful and well-known fact concerning the regularity of rational maps defined on a nonsingular curve (see [M, 7.1]).

Lemma 2.1. *Let $Z \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a nonsingular projective curve and let $F : Z \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$ be a rational map. Then, F extends to a regular map $F' : Z \to \mathbb{CP}^m$. Moreover, if Z, F are σ-invariant so is F'.*

One of our main tools is the resolution of indeterminacy of a σ-invariant rational map defined on a σ-invariant nonsingular projective surface. Since for our purposes we need to keep all the involved objects σ-invariant, we present for the sake of the reader a careful presentation of this well-known tool.

2.b. **Resolution of indeterminacy of a σ-invariant rational map.** Let $Z_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a σ-invariant nonsingular projective variety of dimension d and let $F_\mathbb{C} : Z_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$ be a σ-invariant rational map. To compute the *set of indeterminacy* of $F_\mathbb{C} = (F_1 : \cdots : F_m)$ we proceed as follows (see [Sh1, III.1.4]). Consider for each $i = 0, \ldots, m$ the divisor D_i in Z_0 defined by the polynomial F_i and let $|D| := \operatorname{hcd}\{D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_m\}$ the highest common divisor of the divisors $D_0, D_1, \ldots, D_m$. Observe that the divisors $D_i' := D_i - |D|$ have no component in common. By [Sh1, III.1.4.Thm.2] , the map $F_\mathbb{C}$ fails to be regular exactly at the points of the σ-invariant set $Y_\mathbb{C} := \bigcap_{i=0}^m \operatorname{supp}(D_i')$ which has dimension $\leqslant d - 2$.

Moreover, since $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ is σ-invariant, it restricts to a real rational map $F_{\mathbb{R}} : Z_0 \cap \mathbb{RP}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^m$ whose set of indeterminacy is $Y_{\mathbb{R}} := Y_{\mathbb{C}} \cap \mathbb{RP}^2$.

We will denote both operators $\dim_{\mathbb{R}}$ and $\dim_{\mathbb{C}}$ indistinctly by dim; in both cases, the reader will be always able to distinguish from the context which one of them we are using. Even if it is involved in the statement of the following result, we postpone a little the definition and some related results concerning blow-ups. In what follows, we assume that Z_0 has dimension 2 and we claim that under the hypotheses above:

(2.b.1) *There exist:*

(i) *A σ-invariant nonsingular projective surface $Z_1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^k$ (for some $k \geqslant 2$);*

(ii) *A σ-invariant (composition of a) sequence of blow-ups $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to Z_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, whose fundamental set is $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$, that is, $Y_{\mathbb{C}} = \{p \in Z_0 : \ \#\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(p) > 1\}$, and which of course satisfy that $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1 \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})} : Z_1 \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}}) \to Z_0 \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a biregular isomorphism; and*

(iii) *A σ-invariant regular projective map $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^m$ such that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1 \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})} = F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1 \backslash \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})}$.*

Moreover, for each $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}$, the irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ are nonsingular projective curves $K_{i,y}$ biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{CP}^1$ (via a regular map $\Phi_{i,y} : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to K_{i,y}$, which is σ-invariant if $K_{i,y}$ is σ-invariant) such that

(iv) *if $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}} \backslash Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, then $\sigma(K_{i,y}) = K_{i,\sigma(y)}$ and $K_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \varnothing$,*

(v) *if $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, then either $K_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \varnothing$ and there exists $j \neq i$ such that $\sigma(K_{i,y}) = K_{j,y}$ (and $K_{j,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \varnothing$) or $\sigma(K_{i,y}) = K_{i,y}$ and $C_{i,y} = K_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$ is a nonsingular projective curve biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{RP}^1$ (via the restriction map $\phi_{i,y} := \Phi_{i,y}|_{\mathbb{RP}^1} : \mathbb{RP}^1 \to C_{i,y}$).*

A σ-invariant triple $(Z_1, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}})$ satisfying the previous properties will be called a *σ-invariant resolution of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$*.

Before sketching the proof of 2.b.1, we recall some terminology and results concerning blow-ups of nonsingular projective varieties at nonsingular centers.

2.c. **Blow-up with center a nonsingular variety.** . Let $Z_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$ be a nonsingular irreducible projective variety and let $Y \subset Z_0$ be a nonsingular subvariety. Let $H_1, \ldots, H_m$ be a system of homogeneous polynomials of the same degree which generates an ideal I, whose saturation

$$\overline{I} := \{H \in \mathbb{C}[\mathtt{z}] = \mathbb{C}[\mathtt{z}_0, \mathtt{z}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{z}_n] : \ (\mathtt{z})^k H \subset I \quad \text{for some } k \geqslant 0\}.$$

coincides with the ideal $\mathcal{J}(Y)$ of polynomial functions on $\mathbb{CP}^n$ vanishing identically on Y. Then,

(2.c.1) The blow-up $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)$ of Z_0 with center Y is the closure in $Z_0 \times \mathbb{CP}^{m-1}$ of the set

$$\{(z; (H_1(z) : \cdots : H_m(z))) \in (Z_0 \backslash Y) \times \mathbb{CP}^{m-1}\},$$

together with the projection $\pi : \mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0) \subset Z_0 \times \mathbb{CP}^{m-1} \to Z_0, \ (z; y) \mapsto z$ (see [Ha, 7.18] and [Sh2, VI.2.2]). Recall that:

- $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)$ is a nonsingular irreducible projective variety of the same dimension as Z_0, which "does not depend" (in the sense that it is unique up to biregular isomorphism) on the choice of the ideal I nor on the choice of its generators (see [Sh2, VI.2.2 (a,b)]),
- $\pi^{-1}(Y)$ is a nonsingular hypersurface of $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)$,

- $\pi|_{\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)\backslash\pi^{-1}(Y)} : \mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)\backslash\pi^{-1}(Y) \to Z_0\backslash Y$ is a biregular isomorphism, and
- Y can be covered by finitely many affine open subsets $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ of Y satisfying $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \equiv U_\alpha \times \mathbb{CP}^{r-1}$, where $r = \dim Z_0 - \dim Y$.

(2.c.2) Moreover, let $Y_1, \dots, Y_r$ be the irreducible components of Y. Since Y is nonsingular, so is each Y_i and in fact $Y_i \cap Y_j = \varnothing$ if $i \neq j$. On can also prove that $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0) \cong \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_r}(\cdots \mathrm{Bl}_{Y_2}(\mathrm{Bl}_{Y_1}(Z_0))\cdots)$, using its characterization in terms of its local structure around Y and the uniqueness of a blow-up up to biregular isomorphism.

(2.c.3) The construction 2.c.1 above shows that if Z_0, Y are σ-invariant, we may assume that also $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)$ is σ-invariant, just by choosing an ideal I with saturation $\mathcal{J}_{Z_0}(Y)$ and whose generators are all σ-invariant (from a given family of generators, it is enough to consider the real and the imaginary parts of all of them). Notice that if we consider the immersion of $\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)$ in some $\mathbb{CP}^N$ by means of the Segre map (see [Ha, 2.11]), then the map $\pi : \mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0) \to Z_0$ is σ-invariant. Thus, $\pi^{-1}(Y \cap \mathbb{RP}^n) = \pi^{-1}(Y) \cap \mathbb{RP}^N$ and following the proof of [Sh2, VI.2.2], we conclude that, in this situation of σ-invariance, Y can be covered by finitely many σ-invariant affine open sets $\{U_\alpha\}_\alpha$ such that $\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \equiv U_\alpha \times \mathbb{CP}^{r-1}$ and whose intersections with $\mathbb{RP}^N$ satisfy

$$\pi^{-1}(U_\alpha) \cap \mathbb{RP}^N \equiv (U_\alpha \cap \mathbb{RP}^n) \times \mathbb{RP}^{r-1},$$

where $r = \dim Z_0 - \dim Y = \dim(Z_0 \cap \mathbb{RP}^n) - \dim(Y \cap \mathbb{RP}^n)$ (see [BCR, 3.5.8-11]).

(2.c.4) Next, assume that Z_0 is a σ-invariant nonsingular projective surface and Y is a finite σ-invariant subset. Consider the blow-up $(\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0), \pi)$ of Z_0 with center Y:

(i) For each $y \in Y$ the fiber $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is, by 2.c.1, a nonsingular rational curve. In fact, if $y \in Y \cap \mathbb{RP}^d$, we have that $\pi^{-1}(y)$ is, by 2.c.3, a nonsingular σ-invariant nonsingular rational curve, via a σ-invariant biregular equivalence with $\mathbb{CP}^1$. Moreover, if $y \in Y\backslash\mathbb{RP}^d$, then $\pi^{-1}(y) \cap \mathbb{RP}^N = \varnothing$, because π is σ-invariant.

(ii) If $C \subset Z_0$ is a nonsingular curve not containded in the center Y, its strict transform $\widetilde{C} := \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathrm{Bl}_Y(Z_0)}(\pi^{-1}(C\backslash Y)) \subset \pi^{-1}(C)$ is, by [Sh2, VI.2.2.d], a nonsingular curve. Moreover, if there is a σ-invariant biregular equivalence $\Phi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to C$, then the strict transform $\widetilde{C} \subset \pi^{-1}(C)$ of C under π is σ-invariant and, by 2.1 applied to the rational map $\pi^{-1} \circ \Phi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \widetilde{C}$, there exists a σ-invariant biregular equivalence $\Psi : \mathbb{CP}^1 \to \widetilde{C}$.

Sketch of proof of statement 2.b.1. In view of the proof of [Sh1, IV.3.3.Thm.3] , the (finite) procedure to resolve the indeterminacy of a rational map $F_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_0 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$ consists of blowing-up the points of indeterminacy of F and of the subsequent rational maps obtained by composing F with the corresponding sequence of blow-up maps already constructed in the process. By 2.c.2, we may blow-up at once all the indeterminacy points of any of such rational maps. Thus, by 2.c.3 applied inductively, we may assume that each rational map involved in the process, its domain of definition and its set of indeterminacy are all σ-invariant. Of course, $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the first rational map, Z_0 is the first domain of definition and $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the first set of indeterminacy; as we already know, all of them are σ-invariant.

Notice that in the last step of the process we achieve:

- A σ-invariant nonsingular projective surface $Z_1 \subset \mathbb{CP}^k$ (for some $k \geqslant 2$),
- A σ-invariant sequence of blow-ups $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to Z_0 \subset \mathbb{CP}^n$, and
- A σ-invariant regular projective map $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^m$ such that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1\backslash\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})} = F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1\backslash\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})}$.

Thus, the triple $(Z_1, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}})$ satisfy conditions (i) and (iii) in the statement. Next, notice that the preimage of each point of $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is, by 2.c.4, a complex projective curve whose irreducible components are nonsingular rational curves. On the other hand, by construction, the preimage under π of each point of $Z_0 \backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is a singleton. Hence, $Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ is the fundamental set of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ and (ii) in the statement holds. Finally, assertions (iv) and (v) are again straightforward consequences of 2.c.4. □

2.d. **Projective curves intersecting in a singleton.** Another tool that will be useful later concerns the supply of sufficient conditions to guarantee that the intersection of two connected complex projective curves of a complex projective surface with good properties is either empty or a singleton. The proof of the following result (see [J2, 3.1]), included for the sake of the reader, is deeply inspired in the proof of [J1, 4.6].

Lemma 2.2. *Let X be a (complex) proyective surface. Assume that X contains an open dense subset U such that $H_1(U;\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(U;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and whose complement $A := X \backslash U$ is a complex proyective curve. Let $C_1, C_2 \subset A$ be two connected, (complex) projective curves without common irreducible components. Then, the intersection $C_1 \cap C_2$ is either the empty set or it is a singleton.*

Proof. The proof runs in several steps:

(2.2.1) First, we may assume that X is a compact polyhedron of dimension 4, A is a closed subpolyhedron of X and U is an orientable manifold of dimension 4. By Lefschetz duality (see [S, 6.1.11 & 6.2.19]), $H^i(X, A;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_{4-i}(U;\mathbb{Z})$ for $i = 0, \dots, 4$; hence, from the long exact sequence of cohomology (see [S, 5.4.13]), we have

$$H^1(X, A;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(A;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^2(X, A;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H_2(U;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$$

and so the homomorphism $H^1(X;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(A;\mathbb{Z})$ is an epimorphism. Since U is an open dense subset of X, there exists, by means of [J1, 4.7], an epimorphism $0 = H_1(U;\mathbb{Z}) \to H_1(X, \mathbb{Z})$, and so $H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Now, by the universal-coefficient theorem for cohomology [S, 5.5.3] and by [S, 5.5.1], we deduce that $H^1(X, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \operatorname{Hom}(H_1(X, \mathbb{Z}), \mathbb{Z}) = 0$; hence, $H^1(A;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

(2.2.2) Next, let $C \subset A$ be a compact analytic curve and let C' be the union of the irreducible components of A not contained in C; clearly, $\mathcal{F} = C \cap C'$ is a finite set. Observe that, since C and C' are analytic sets, they are locally contractible; hence, for all $x \in \mathcal{F}$, there exists a neigbourhood V^x in A such that:

- $V^x \cap C$ and $V^x \cap C'$ have the singleton $\{x\}$ as a deformation retract,
- $V^x \cap C \cap C' = \{x\}$, and
- $V^{x_1} \cap V^{x_2} = \varnothing$ if $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$.

A straightforward computation shows that $V := C \cup \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} (V^x \cap C')$ and $W := C' \cup \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} (V^x \cap C)$ are open subsets of A such that $V \cup W = A$, $V \cap W = \bigcup_{x \in \mathcal{F}} (V^x \cap (C \cup C'))$ and C, C' are respectively deformation retracts of V and W; moreover, $\mathcal{F}$ is a deformation retract of $V \cap W$. Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for cohomology (see [S, 5.4.9]), we have

$$0 = H^1(A;\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(V \cup W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(V;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H^1(W;\mathbb{Z}) \to H^1(V \cap W;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Z}).$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a finite set, $H^1(\mathcal{F};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, and so $H^1(C;\mathbb{Z}) \cong H^1(V;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Thus, in particular, for the choice $C = C_1 \cup C_2$, we have $H^1(C_1 \cup C_2;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

(2.2.3) Finally, if $C_1 \cap C_2 \neq \varnothing$, let us check that this intersection is a singleton. Let V_1, V_2 be two open subsets of $C_1 \cup C_2$ such that

- $C_i \subset V_i$ is a deformation retract of V_i for $i = 1, 2$,
- $V_1 \cup V_2 = C_1 \cup C_2$ and $C_1 \cap C_2$ is a deformation retract of $V_1 \cap V_2$.

(for the construction of V_1, V_2 proceed similarly to 2.2.2). Using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for reduced cohomology (see [S, 5.4.8 & p.240]) applied to the open subsets V_1, V_2 of $C_1 \cup C_2$ (whose intersection is non-empty), we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{H}^0(C_1;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \tilde{H}^0(C_2;\mathbb{Z}) &\cong \tilde{H}^0(V_1;\mathbb{Z}) \oplus \tilde{H}^0(V_2;\mathbb{Z}) \to \tilde{H}^0(V_1 \cap V_2;\mathbb{Z})\\ &= \tilde{H}^0(C_1 \cap C_2;\mathbb{Z}) \to \tilde{H}^1(V_1 \cup V_2;\mathbb{Z}) = \tilde{H}^1(C_1 \cup C_2;\mathbb{Z}) = H^1(C_1 \cup C_2;\mathbb{Z}) = 0.\end{aligned}$$

Now, since C_1, C_2 are connected, $\tilde{H}^0(C_i;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ and so, we have $\tilde{H}^0(C_1 \cap C_2;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$; hence, the finite set $C_1 \cap C_2$ is connected, that is, it is a singleton. □

Example 2.3. Let $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ be a finite set and let $U := \mathbb{C}^2 \setminus \mathcal{F}$ be its complement. Then, $H_1(U,\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(U,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$.

First, by Hurewicz's theorem, $H_1(U,\mathbb{Z})$ is the abelianization of $\pi_1(U) = 0$; hence, $H_1(U,\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. To compute $H_2(U,\mathbb{Z})$, we may assume (after a homeomorphism of $\mathbb{C}^2 \equiv \mathbb{R}^4$) that $\mathcal{F} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$, where $p_k := (2k-1, 0) \equiv (2k-1, 0, 0, 0)$. Notice that $D_r := \bigcup_{i=1}^r \mathbb{S}^3_{p_i}$, where $\mathbb{S}^3_{p_i} := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^4 : \ \|x - p_i\| = 1\}$, is a deformation retract of $U \equiv \mathbb{R}^4 \setminus \mathcal{F}$. Observe that

$$\mathbb{S}^3_{p_i} \cap \mathbb{S}^3_{p_j} = \begin{cases} \{p_{ij} := \frac{p_i + p_j}{2}\} & \text{if } |i-j| = 1,\\ \varnothing & \text{if } |i-j| > 1.\end{cases}$$

Denote $D_k := \bigcup_{i=1}^k \mathbb{S}^3_{p_i}$ and observe that $H_2(D_1;\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(\mathbb{S}^3_{p_1};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. By induction hypothesis, we assume that $H_2(D_{r-1};\mathbb{Z}) = 0$. Now, using the Mayer-Vietoris exact sequence for homology (see [S, §4.6]), we have

$$\begin{aligned}0 = H_2(D_{r-1},\mathbb{Z}) \oplus H_2(\mathbb{S}^3_{p_r};\mathbb{Z}) &\to H_2(D_r;\mathbb{Z}) = H_2(U;\mathbb{Z})\\ &\to H_1(D_{r-1} \cap \mathbb{S}^3_{p_r},\mathbb{Z}) = H_1(\{p_{r-1,r}\};\mathbb{Z}) = 0;\end{aligned}$$

hence, $H_2(U;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$, as wanted.

Remark 2.4. In view of Example 2.3, Lemma 2.2 applies in case U is homeomorphic to the complement in $\mathbb{C}^2$ of a finite subset $\mathcal{F}$.

2.e. **Rational curve selection lemma.** To finish this section, we present for the sake of completeness the following variation of the curve selection lemma adapted to the situations we will approach later.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a regular map and let $S := f(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Let $S' \subset S$ be a semialgebraic dense subset of S and let $p \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S) \setminus S$. Then, there exist*

- *integers r, k_i with $r \geqslant 1$ and $k_1 = \min\{k_1, \ldots, k_n\} < 0$, and*
- *polynomials $\mathtt{p}_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ with $\mathtt{p}_i(0) \neq 0$ for $i = 2, \ldots, n$,*

such that (after reordering the variables if necessary) for each $\beta \in (\mathtt{t})^r \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]^n$ we have

(i) *$p = \lim_{t \to 0^+} (f \circ (\alpha + \beta))(t)$ where $\alpha = (\pm \mathtt{t}^{k_1}, \mathtt{t}^{k_2}\mathtt{p}_2, \ldots, \mathtt{t}^{k_n}\mathtt{p}_n)$, and*
(ii) *$(f \circ (\alpha + \beta))((0, \varepsilon)) \subset S'$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough.*

Before proving the previous result we need a technical lemma.

Lemma 2.6. *Let $F \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}] = \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_n]$ be a non identically zero polynomial and let $g \in \mathbb{R}((\mathtt{t}))^n$. Then, for each $s \geqslant 1$ the exists $r \geqslant 1$ such that if $h \in (\mathtt{t})^r \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]^n$ we have $F(g) - F(g + h) \in (\mathtt{t})^s \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$.*

Proof. We write $g = \frac{g'}{\mathtt{t}^k}$ where $k \geqslant 0$ and $g' \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]^n$. Let $\mathtt{z} \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathtt{y} := (\mathtt{y}_1, \dots, \mathtt{y}_n)$ be variables and let us write

$$F(\mathtt{x} + \mathtt{z}\mathtt{y}) = F(\mathtt{x}) + \mathtt{z}H(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}, \mathtt{z})$$

where $H \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}, \mathtt{z}]$ is a polynomial of degree say d. Let $r := s + kd$ and observe that if $h \in (\mathtt{t})^r\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]^n$, we may write $h = \mathtt{t}^r h'$ where $h' \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$ and

$$F(g + h) - F(g) = \mathtt{t}^r H\Big(\frac{g'}{\mathtt{t}^k}, h', \mathtt{t}^r\Big).$$

Observe that the order of the series $F(g + h) - F(g)$ is $\geqslant r - kd = s$, as wanted. □

Proof of Lemma 2.5. First, we may assume that S' is open in S. Indeed, since S' is dense in S and this last is pure dimensional (say of dimension d), because it is the image of $\mathbb{R}^n$ under a regular map, we deduce that $S \backslash S'$ is a semialgebraic set of dimension $\leqslant d - 1$. Since $\dim(\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S\backslash S')) = \dim(S\backslash S')$, we deduce that $S'' := S\backslash \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S\backslash S') \subset S'$ is dense and open in S. Thus, changing S' by S'' if necessary we may assume that S' is open in S.

Next, since $\operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S') = \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S)$ is a semialgebraic set and $p \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S')\backslash S$, there exists, by the Nash curve selection lemma ([BCR, 8.1.13]), a Nash path $\gamma : (-1, 1) \to \mathbb{RP}^m$ such that $\gamma((0, 1)) \subset S'$ and $\gamma(0) = p$. Let $\{x_k\}_k \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ and $\{t_k\}_{k\geqslant 1} \subset (0, 1)$ be sequences which are respectively convergent in $\mathbb{RP}^n$ and $[0, 1]$ such that $\lim_{k\to\infty} t_k = 0$ and $f(x_k) = \gamma(t_k)$ for all $k \geqslant 1$. Let $q \in \mathbb{RP}^n$ be the limit of $\{x_k\}_{k\geqslant 1}$. Observe that since $S = f(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $p = \gamma(0) \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S)\backslash S$, we have that $q \in \mathbb{RP}^n\backslash\mathbb{R}^n = \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

As it is well-known, $\dim(f^{-1}(\gamma((0, 1)))) \geqslant \dim(\gamma((0, 1))) = 1$. Since

$$q \in \operatorname{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^n}(f^{-1}(\gamma((0, 1))))\backslash(f^{-1}(\gamma((0, 1)))),$$

there exists, again by the Nash curve selection lemma, a Nash path $\lambda : (-1, 1) \to \mathbb{RP}^n$ such that $\lambda((0, 1)) \subset f^{-1}(\gamma((0, 1)))$ and $\lambda(0) = q$. Thus, after reparametrizing γ we may assume $f \circ \lambda = \gamma$ and so, there exist $\lambda_0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n, \gamma_0, \gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_m \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$ such that

$$f \circ \lambda = f\Big(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}, \dots, \frac{\lambda_n}{\lambda_0}\Big) = \Big(\frac{\gamma_1}{\gamma_0}, \dots, \frac{\gamma_m}{\gamma_0}\Big) = \gamma,$$

$\lim_{t\to 0^+}(1 : \lambda(t)) = q$ and $\lim_{t\to 0^+}(1 : \gamma(t)) = p$. Since $q \in \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we may assume after reordering the variables $x_1, \dots, x_n$ that the order

$$\omega\Big(\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0}\Big) = \min\Big\{\omega\Big(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0}\Big) : i = 1, \dots, n\Big\} < 0.$$

In fact, after a change of the type $\mathtt{t} \mapsto \mathtt{t}u(\mathtt{t})$ where $u \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$, we may assume that $\frac{\lambda_1}{\lambda_0} = \pm\mathtt{t}^{k_1}$ with $k_1 < 0$ and we may write $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} = t^{k_i'}\rho_i$ where $\rho_i \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$ and $k_i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $i = 2, \dots, n$. For simplicity we take $k_i' = 0$ and $\rho_i = 0$ if $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} = 0$ and $\rho_i \in \mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$ and $k_i = k_i' \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\rho_i(0) \neq 0$ if $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} \neq 0$.

Next, write $f = (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \dots, \frac{f_m}{f_0})$ where $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}]$ and f_0 does not vanish in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Notice that there is, by 2.6, $r_0 \geqslant 1$ such that if $\mu = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_n) \in (\mathtt{t})^{r_0}\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]^n$, then we have

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+}(1 : \lambda(t) + \mu(t)) = q \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0^+}\Big(1 : \frac{f_1}{f_0}(\lambda(t) + \mu(t)) : \cdots : \frac{f_m}{f_0}(\lambda(t) + \mu(t))\Big) = p$$

Moreover, since S' is open in S, also $f^{-1}(S')$ is open in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Thus, since $\lambda((0, 1)) \subset f^{-1}(\gamma((0, 1))) \subset f^{-1}(S')$ there are finitely many polynomials $g_1, \dots, g_q \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}]$ such that

$$\lambda((0, \varepsilon_1)) \subset \{g_1 > 0, \dots, g_q > 0\} \subset f^{-1}(S')$$

for some $\varepsilon_1 > 0$ small enough. Thus, we may write $g_i \circ \lambda = a_i t^{\ell_i} + \cdots$ where $a_i > 0$ and $\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$. By 2.6, there exists $r \geqslant \max\{r_0, k'_2, \ldots, k'_n\} + 1$ such that if $\eta \in (\mathtt{t})^r\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$, then $(g_i \circ (\lambda + \eta)) - (g_i \circ \lambda) \in (\mathtt{t})^s\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$, where $s := \max\{0, \ell_1, \ldots, \ell_q\} + 1$; hence, each $g_i \circ (\lambda + \eta) > 0$ for $t > 0$ small enough, for all $\eta \in (\mathtt{t})^r\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]$.

Now, if we choose $\mu := (0, \mu_2, \ldots, \mu_n) \in (\mathtt{t})^r\mathbb{R}[[\mathtt{t}]]^n$ such that $\mathtt{t}^{-k'_i}(\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} + \mu_i) = \rho_i + \mathtt{t}^{-k'_i}\mu_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]\backslash\{0\}$, we are done. Indeed, if $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} \neq 0$ and so $k'_i = k_i$ we define $P_i := \rho_i + \mathtt{t}^{-k'_i}\mu_i$; on the other hand, if $\frac{\lambda_i}{\lambda_0} = 0$, we write $\rho_i + \mathtt{t}^{-k'_i}\mu_i = \mathtt{t}^{k_i}\mathtt{p}_i$ with $k_i \geqslant 0$, $\mathtt{p}_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ and $\mathtt{p}_i(0) \neq 0$. The integers r, k_i and the polynomials P_i for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ satisfy all the conditions in the statement. □

3. Connectedness of the set of infinite points of a polynomial image

The purpose of this section is to prove Theorem 1.1. In fact we approach this result in the more general framework of *quasi-polynomial maps*, that we introduce here.

Definition 3.1. Let $f = (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \cdots, \frac{f_m}{f_0}) : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be a regular map, where each $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}]$, such that $0 \leqslant \deg(f_0) < \max\{\deg(f_1), \ldots, \deg(f_m)\}$. Consider the rational map

$$F_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{RP}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^m,\ x = (x_0 : x_1 : \ldots : x_n) \mapsto (F_0(x) : F_1(x) : \cdots : F_m(x))$$

where $F_i(\mathtt{x}_0 : \mathtt{x}_1 : \ldots : \mathtt{x}_n) = \mathtt{x}_0^d f_i(\frac{\mathtt{x}_1}{\mathtt{x}_0}, \ldots, \frac{\mathtt{x}_n}{\mathtt{x}_0})$ and $d = \max_{i=0,\ldots,m}\{\deg(f_i)\}$. Let $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the set of indeterminacy points of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ and write $F_0 = \mathtt{x}_0^e F'_0$, where $e \geqslant 1$ and $F'_0 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_n]$ is a homogeneous polynomial non divisible by $\mathtt{x}_0$. We say that f is a *quasi-polynomial map* if $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \{F'_0 = 0\} = \varnothing$.

Remarks 3.2. (i) The definition of quasi-polynomial map includes of course the case in which f_0 is a nonzero constant, that is, f is a (non-constant) polynomial map, but also more general situations. For example, the case on which the set $\{F'_0 = 0\} \cap \mathbb{RP}^n = \varnothing$, which occurs for instance if $f_0 = b_0^2 + (b_1^2\mathtt{x}_1^{2k} + \cdots + b_n^2\mathtt{x}_n^{2k})^\ell$, where $k, \ell \geqslant 0$ and $b_i \in \mathbb{R}\backslash\{0\}$.

(ii) The condition $0 \leqslant \deg(f_0) < \max\{\deg(f_1), \ldots, \deg(f_m)\}$ in Definition 3.1 guaranties that the natural rational extension $F_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{CP}^n \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$ of $F_{\mathbb{R}}$ satisfies $F_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{CP}^n)) = \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{CP}^m)$.

(iii) Notice that if the polynomials $f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m$ are relatively prime, then

$$Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \{F'_0 = 0\} = \{F'_0 = 0, F_1 = 0, \ldots, F_m = 0\} \cap \mathbb{RP}^n.$$

(iv) If $n = 1$, the condition $0 \leqslant \deg(f_0) < \max\{\deg(f_1), \ldots, \deg(f_m)\}$ characterizes the quasi-polynomial maps.

Theorem 3.3. *Let $S \subset \mathbb{R}^m \subset \mathbb{RP}^m$ be a semialgebraic set which is the image of a quasi-polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Then, S_∞ is connected.*

Proof of Theorem 3.3 *for the case $n = 2$.* Write $f := (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \cdots, \frac{f_m}{f_0}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$, where each $f_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_2]$ and f_0 does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^2$. Since $\deg(f_0) < \max\{\deg(f_1), \ldots, \deg(f_m)\}$ the map f is non-constant. The proof runs in several steps.

(3.3.1) Following the notations of 3.1, the rational map $F_{\mathbb{R}} : \mathbb{RP}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^m$ extends naturally to a σ-invariant rational map $F_{\mathbb{C}} : \mathbb{CP}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{CP}^m$. We may assume that the polynomials $F_0, F_1, \ldots, F_m \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_2]$ are relatively prime and so the set of points of indeterminacy of the rational map $F_{\mathbb{K}}$ (where $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$) is

$$Y_{\mathbb{K}} = \{x \in \mathbb{KP}^2 :\ x_0 F'_0(x) = 0,\ F_i(x) = 0,\ i = 1, \ldots, m\}.$$

Observe that $Y_{\mathbb{K}}$ is a finite subset (see 2.b) of the set $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{K}) \cup \{x \in \mathbb{KP}^2 : F_0'(x) = 0\}$. In fact, since f is regular on $\mathbb{R}^2$, $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is contained in $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

(3.3.2) Let $(Z_1, \pi_{\mathbb{C}}, \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}})$ be a σ-invariant resolution of $F_{\mathbb{C}}$ and let us keep all the notation introduced in 2.b.1. Denote

- $X_1 := Z_1 \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$, which is a nonsingular real projective surface,
- $\pi_{\mathbb{R}} := \pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{X_1} : X_1 \to \mathbb{RP}^2$ which is the composition of a sequence of finitely many blow-ups, and whose restriction $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}|_{X_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{R}})} : X_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{R}}) \to \mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ is a biregular isomorphism, and
- $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}} := \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{X_1} : X_1 \to \mathbb{RP}^m$, which is a real regular projective map such that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}|_{X_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{R}})} = F_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{R}}|_{X_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{R}})}$.

Since $Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, if $x \in X_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$, we have $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x) \in \mathbb{RP}^2 \setminus \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \equiv \mathbb{R}^2$ and so

$$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = F_{\mathbb{R}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x) = f(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}(x)) \in \mathbb{R}^m \equiv \mathbb{RP}^m \setminus \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R});$$

hence, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})) \subset \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$.

(3.3.3) The strict transform K_∞ under $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$ of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ is, by 2.c.4(ii), a nonsingular curve and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{\mathsf{K}_\infty} : \mathsf{K}_\infty \to \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}) \equiv \mathbb{CP}^1$ is a σ-invariant biregular isomorphism; hence, K_∞ is a σ-invariant nonsingular rational curve. Consequently, $\mathsf{C}_\infty := \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$ is a real nonsingular rational curve, which is moreover the strict transform under $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}$ of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$.

(3.3.4) Let E be the σ-invariant projective curve $\{z \in \mathbb{CP}^2 : F_0'(z) = 0\}$. Since f_0 does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^2$ and x_0 does not divide F_0', the intersection $\mathsf{E} \cap \mathbb{RP}^2$ is a (possibly empty) finite subset of $\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$. Moreover, $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{E}) = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \bigcup_{y \in \mathsf{E} \cap Y_{\mathbb{C}}} \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$, where $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}$ is the strict transform of E under $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}$; of course, $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}$ is a σ-invariant projective curve. We have:

(3.3.4.1) $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) = \varnothing$ *and* $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k \subset \mathsf{C}_\infty$.

Indeed, let $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let us show that $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) = \varnothing$. Otherwise, there exists $x \in \widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ and so $y = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(x) \in \pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}) = \mathsf{E}$; hence,

$$y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \mathsf{E} \cap \mathbb{RP}^2 = Y_{\mathbb{R}} \cap \{z \in \mathbb{RP}^2 : F_0'(z) = 0\} = \varnothing,$$

a contradiction. Next, since $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k) \subset \mathsf{E} \cap \mathbb{RP}^2 \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$, we have

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k \subset \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})) \setminus \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}} \pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(y) \subset \mathsf{C}_\infty.$$

(3.3.4.2) Moreover, using the fact that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})} = F_{\mathbb{C}} \circ \pi_{\mathbb{C}}|_{Z_1 \setminus \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}})}$, we deduce that

$$\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \mathsf{K}_\infty \subset \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \subset \widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \mathsf{K}_\infty \cup \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(Y_{\mathbb{C}});$$

hence, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ is a σ-invariant projective curve whose irreducible components different from $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}$ are either singletons or nonsingular rational curves (see 2.b.1).

(3.3.5) The following diagram summarizes the achieved situation (in the complex and real cases):

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \mathsf{K}_\infty \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \\
\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}) \cup \mathsf{E} \supset Y_{\mathbb{C}} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
Z_1 \arrow[r, "\pi_{\mathbb{C}}"] \arrow[dr, "\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}"'] & \mathbb{CP}^2 \arrow[d, "F_{\mathbb{C}}"] \\
& \mathbb{CP}^m \\
& \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}) \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \\
\mathsf{C}_\infty \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] & \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \supset Y_{\mathbb{R}} \arrow[d, phantom, "\cap"] \\
X_1 \arrow[r, "\pi_{\mathbb{R}}"] \arrow[dr, "\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}"'] & \mathbb{RP}^2 \arrow[d, "F_{\mathbb{R}}"] \\
& \mathbb{RP}^m \\
& \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \arrow[u, phantom, "\cup"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

(3.3.6) Let us prove next that: *$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ is connected.* Consequently, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ does not contain isolated points and its irreducible components different from $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}}$ are nonsingular rational curves.

Indeed, by the Stein factorization theorem (see [H2, III.11.5] and its proof) applied to the projective morphism $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^m$, there exist a projective variety V and projective morphisms $G_1 : Z_1 \to V$, $G_2 : V \to \mathbb{CP}^m$ such that:

- G_1 is surjective and has only connected fibers,
- G_2 is a finite morphism, and
- $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}} = G_2 \circ G_1$.

Next, we check that $\mathsf{H} := G_2^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ and $G_1^{-1}(\mathsf{H}) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ are connected.

(3.3.6.1) *$G_2^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ is connected.*

Since G_2 is finite, we deduce, by [H2, II.5.17], that it is an affine morphism; hence,

$$G_2^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^m) = G_2^{-1}(\mathbb{CP}^m) \backslash G_2^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) = V \backslash G_2^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$$

is an affine algebraic variety. Therefore, since V is a complete manifold, we deduce, by [H1, 6.2, p.79], that $\mathsf{H} = G_2^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) = V \backslash G_2^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^m)$ is connected because it is the complement in V of an affine open subvariety.

(3.3.6.2) *$G_1^{-1}(\mathsf{H})$ is connected.*

Suppose that $G_1^{-1}(\mathsf{H})$ is the disjoint union of two closed subsets A_1, A_2. Since G_1 is proper, $G_1(A_1), G_1(A_2)$ are closed subsets of H such that $\mathsf{H} = G_1(A_1) \cup G_1(A_2)$ (use here that G_1 is surjective). In case $G_1(A_i) \neq \varnothing$ for $i = 1, 2$, the intersection $G_1(A_1) \cap G_1(A_2) \neq \varnothing$, because H is connected. Let $x \in G_1(A_1) \cap G_1(A_2)$; hence,

$$G_1^{-1}(\{x\}) = (G_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A_1) \sqcup (G_1^{-1}(\{x\}) \cap A_2)$$

is the disjoint union of two non-empty closed sets and so $G_1^{-1}(\{x\})$ is disconnected, a contradiction, because G_1 has only connected fibers.

(3.3.7) Next, by Zariski Main Theorem (see [H2, III.11.4]) applied to the birational projective morphism $\pi_{\mathbb{C}} : Z_1 \to \mathbb{CP}^2$, we have that: *For each $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ the fiber $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ is connected.*

(3.3.8) In the next step we will use 2.2 several times. To easy the procedure we point out here the key facts. First, by 2.c.4 we have that $A := \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\ell_\infty(\mathbb{C}) \cup Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ is an algebraic curve, whose irreducible components are nonsingular rational curves. Next, observe that

$U := Z_1\backslash A = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathbb{C}^2\backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}})$ is a dense subset of Z_1 biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{C}^2\backslash Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ (that is, the complement in $\mathbb{C}^2$ of a finite subset). Now, *let* $B_1, B_2 \subset A$ *be two connected compact algebraic curves without common irreducible components. Then*, by 2.2, 2.3 and 2.4, *the intersection* $B_1 \cap B_2$ *is either empty or a singleton.*

(3.3.9) Now, let $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and let T be a σ-invariant connected union of irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$. Let $K_1, \dots, K_r$ be the σ-invariant irreducible components of T and denote $C_i := K_i \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$, which is, by 2.b.1(v), a real nonsingular rational curve for $i = 1, \dots, r$. We have:

(i) *The projective curve* $\bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ *is connected.*
(ii) Suppose moreover $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T \neq \varnothing$. Then, *the intersection* $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ *is a singleton contained in* X_1. In particular, $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cup \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ *is connected.*
(iii) *We may order the indices* $i = 1, \dots, r$ *in such a way that* $\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap C_1 = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T$ *is a singleton and* $C_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_j$ *is a singleton for* $i = 2, \dots, r$. In particular, *the real projective curve* $C := \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ *is connected and* $\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap C \neq \varnothing$.

We begin by proving 3.3.9(i). If $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, denote by $K_{r+1}, \dots, K_s$ the non σ-invariant irreducible components of T. Denote

$$t := \max\Big\{\#\mathcal{F} : \ \mathcal{F} \subset \{1, \dots, r\}, \ \bigcup_{i\in\mathcal{F}} K_i \quad \text{is connected}\Big\}$$

and we let us check that $t = r$. Suppose, by way of contradiction, that $t < r$; we may assume that $K := \bigcup_{i=1}^t K_i$ is connected. Thus, since each K_ℓ is connected, each intersection $K \cap K_\ell = \varnothing$ for $t < \ell \leqslant r$. Now, since T is connected and σ-invariant, but $K \cap \bigcup_{i=t+1}^r K_i = \varnothing$, we may assume that $K \cap K_{r+1} \neq \varnothing$ and $\sigma(K_{r+1}) = K_{r+2}$. Since K is σ-invariant, $K \cap K_{r+2} \neq \varnothing$ and so $K \cup K_{r+1} \cup K_{r+2}$ is connected and σ-invariant. We reorder the indices $i = r+1, \dots, s$ inductively in such a way that

- $K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2j} K_i$ is connected and σ-invariant for each $j \geqslant 1$, and
- $\sigma(K_{r+2j-1}) = K_{r+2j}$ for each $j \geqslant 1$,

until we find indices $r < r+2j \leqslant s$ and $t < \ell \leqslant r$ such that $K_\ell \cap (K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2j} K_j) \neq \varnothing$; such indices exist because $T = \bigcup_{i=1}^s K_i$ is connected.

We have two connected σ-invariant projective curves K_ℓ and $K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2j} K_j$, which are contained in A (see 3.3.8 for the definition of A), because $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C})$; hence, the non-empty σ-invariant intersection $K_\ell \cap (K \cup \bigcup_{i=r+1}^{r+2j} K_j)$ is, by 3.3.8, a singleton $\{p_\ell\}$, contained in $X_1 = Z_1 \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$. Thus, since $K_i \subset \mathbb{CP}^k\backslash\mathbb{RP}^k$ for $i = r+1, \dots, s$ (see 2.b.1(iv)), we have $p_\ell \in K_\ell \cap K \neq \varnothing$, a contradiction. Therefore, $r = t$ and so $\bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ is connected.

Next, we prove 3.3.9(ii). Since $\mathsf{K}_\infty, T \subset A$ are σ-invariant connected projective curves, the non-empty σ-invariant intersection $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T$ is, by 3.3.8, a singleton $\{p\}$, contained in X_1. Hence, $p \in T \cap \mathbb{RP}^k \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$, because the non σ-invariant irreducible components of T are contained in $\mathbb{CP}^k\backslash\mathbb{RP}^k$ (see 2.b.1(v)). Therefore, $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i = \{p\}$.

Now, we approach 3.3.9(iii). First, since $p \in X_1 = Z_1 \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$, we have

$$\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i = \Big(\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i\Big) \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \{p\} \neq \varnothing.$$

Thus, we may assume that $\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap C_1 \neq \varnothing$, that is, $\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap C_1 = \{p\} = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T$. Next, since $\bigcup_{i=1}^r K_r$ is connected, we claim that: *We may order the indices* $i = 2, \dots, r$ *in such a way that* K_i *intersects the union* $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_j$ *for* $i = 2, \dots, r$.

Indeed, since $K = \bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i$ is connected, the intersection $K_1 \cap \bigcup_{i=2}^r K_i \neq \varnothing$; hence, we may assume that $K_1 \cap K_2 \neq \varnothing$. Using, again that K is connected, we deduce that the intersection of $K_1 \cup K_2$ and $\bigcup_{i=3}^r K_i \neq \varnothing$ is non-empty; hence, we may assume that K_3 intersets $K_1 \cup K_2$. Thus, proceeding so on, we prove the claim.

Next, since K_i and $\bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_j$ are σ-invariant connected projective curves contained in A, the non-empty σ-invariant intersection $K_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_j$ is, by 3.3.8, a singleton $\{q_i\}$, contained in X_1; hence,

$$q_i \in \mathbb{RP}^k \cap K_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} K_j = C_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_j.$$

Thus, $C_i \cap \bigcup_{j=1}^{i-1} C_j \neq \varnothing$ for $i = 2, \dots, r$. Therefore, since each C_i is a nonsingular curve biregularly equivalent to $\mathbb{RP}^1$, we deduce that the projective curve $C = \bigcup_{i=1}^r C_i$ is connected.

(3.3.10) Now, we prove the following: *If $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$, the σ-invariant projective variety $T_y := \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$ is connected and $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T_y$ is a singleton.* Thus, if T_y has dimension 1 and $\mathsf{K}_{1,y}, \dots, \mathsf{K}_{r_y,y}$ are the σ-invariant irreducible components of T_y, we have by 3.3.9 that *the projective curve $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y}$ is connected and $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap T_y = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y}$ is a singleton contained in X_1.*

Since $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}} \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C})$ and $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}(\mathsf{K}_\infty) = \ell_\infty(\mathbb{C})$, we deduce that $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \neq \varnothing$. Now, by 3.3.4.2 and 3.3.9(ii),

$$T_y \cap \mathsf{K}_\infty = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \cap \mathsf{K}_\infty = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathsf{K}_\infty$$

is singleton. Moreover, by 3.3.4.1, $\widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) = \varnothing$.

Note also that if $y_1, y_2 \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}$ and $y_1 \neq y_2$, the intersection $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y_1) \cap \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y_2) = \varnothing$. Therefore, if T_y is disconnected, then (see 3.3.4.2)

$$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \mathsf{K}_\infty \cup \bigsqcup_{z \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}} (\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(z) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})))$$

will be also disconnected, which contradicts 3.3.6. Thus, claim 3.3.10 holds.

(3.3.11) Next, let us check that: *$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))$ is connected*; and consequently, *the set $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})))$ is also connected.*

First, since $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is σ-invariant, we have $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$. Moreover, by 3.3.4.2,

$$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) = \widetilde{\mathsf{E}} \cup \mathsf{K}_\infty \cup \bigcup_{y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}}} (\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))). \qquad (\star)$$

Fix $y \in Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ and consider $T_y = \pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C}))$. If T_y is a singleton, then by 3.3.10 we deduce that $T_y \subset \mathsf{K}_\infty$. Otherwise, we denote by $\mathsf{K}_{1,y}, \dots, \mathsf{K}_{r_y,y}$ the σ-invariant irreducible components of T_y; hence, using the fact that the non σ-invariant irreducible components of $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y)$ do not intersect $\mathbb{RP}^k$ (see 2.b.1(v)), we deduce $T_y \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$.

Moreover, by 2.b.1(iv), $\pi_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(y) \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \varnothing$ for all $y \in Y_{\mathbb{C}} \setminus Y_{\mathbb{R}}$. Denote by $Y_{\mathbb{R}}'$ the subset of points of $Y_{\mathbb{R}}$ such that T_y is not a singleton. Now, intersecting expresion $(\star)$ above with

$\mathbb{RP}^k$, we deduce, using also 3.3.4.1, that

$$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{C}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{C})) \cap \mathbb{RP}^k = \mathsf{C}_\infty \cup \bigcup_{y\in Y'_{\mathbb{R}}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$$

Next, recall that by 3.3.10 the projective curve $\bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y}$ is connected and the intersection $\mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{K}_{i,y}$ is a singleton $\{p_y\}$ for each $y \in Y'_{\mathbb{R}}$. Moreover, by 2.b.1(v) and 3.3.2, the intersections $\mathsf{C}_{i,y} := \mathsf{K}_{i,y} \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$ and $\mathsf{C}_\infty = \mathsf{K}_\infty \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$ are real nonsingular rational curves and in particular connected. With the new notation,

$$\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})) = \mathsf{C}_\infty \cup \bigcup_{y\in Y'_{\mathbb{R}}} \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{C}_{i,y}.$$

To complete the proof of 3.3.11, observe that by 3.3.9(iii): *Each projective curve* $\mathsf{C}_y := \bigcup_{i=1}^{r_y} \mathsf{C}_{i,y}$ *is connected and each intersection* $\mathsf{C}_\infty \cap \mathsf{C}_y \neq \varnothing$.

(3.3.12) To finish, it only remains to show that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))) = S_\infty$. Since $X_1 = Z_1 \cap \mathbb{RP}^k$ is compact, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, and so, also the restriction $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}|_{\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)} : \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m) \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is proper. Thus, since $\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in X_1 and $S = f(\mathbb{R}^2) = F_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathbb{R}^2) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))$,

$$\begin{aligned}\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)) &= \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Cl}_{\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)}(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2)))\\ &= \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\pi_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^2))) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(f(\mathbb{R}^2)) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S);\end{aligned}$$

hence, $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S)$. Using now that $\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}$ is proper, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{R}^m}(S) \sqcup S_\infty &= \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(S) = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^m}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)))\\ &= \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathrm{Cl}_{X_1}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m))) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(X_1) = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^m)) \sqcup \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R}))).\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $S_\infty = \widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}(\widehat{F}_{\mathbb{R}}^{-1}(\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})))$ is, by 3.3.11, connected, as wanted. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.1. We present an independent proof to the one of Theorem 3.3 for n arbitrary, even if Theorem 1.1 is a particular case of Theorem 3.3, because it is enlightening about the general strategy we follow to prove Theorem 3.3 in its full generality from the case $n = 2$, which of course is also used to prove Theorem 1.1.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. For $n = 1$, the result follows from [F, 1.1]. To prove that S_∞ is connected if $n \geqslant 2$, it is enough to show that for any given pair of points $p, q \in S_\infty$, there exists a connected subset $T \subset S_\infty$ containing p and q. By 2.5 there exist polynomials $\mathtt{p}_i, \mathtt{q}_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ such that $\mathtt{p}_i(0), \mathtt{q}_i(0) \neq 0$ and integers k_i, ℓ_i such that the parametrizations $\alpha := (\mathtt{t}^{k_1}\mathtt{p}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{t}^{k_n}\mathtt{p}_n)$ and $\beta := (\mathtt{t}^{\ell_1}\mathtt{q}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{t}^{\ell_n}\mathtt{q}_n)$ satisfy

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} (f \circ \alpha)(t) = p \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{t\to 0^+} (f \circ \beta)(t) = q$$

where the limits "have been considered in $\mathbb{RP}^m$". Of course, at least one couple (k_i, ℓ_j) is of negative integers. Consider the polynomials

$$P_i(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}) = \begin{cases} \mathtt{y}^{|k_i|}\mathtt{p}_i(\mathtt{x}) & \text{if } k_i < 0 \\ \mathtt{x}^{k_i}\mathtt{p}_i(\mathtt{x}) & \text{if } k_i \geqslant 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad Q_i(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}) = \begin{cases} (-\mathtt{y})^{|\ell_i|}\mathtt{q}_i(\mathtt{x}) & \text{if } \ell_i < 0 \\ \mathtt{x}^{\ell_i}\mathtt{q}_i(\mathtt{x}) & \text{if } \ell_i \geqslant 0 \end{cases}$$

and let

$$h = \frac{\mathtt{xy} + 1}{2}(P_1, \ldots, P_n) + \frac{1 - \mathtt{xy}}{2}(Q_1, \ldots, Q_n).$$

Consider the polynomial map $g := f \circ h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$ and observe that

- $T_0 = \operatorname{im}(g) \subset \operatorname{im}(f) = S$ and so $T_{0,\infty} \subset S_\infty$.
- $p = \lim_{t\to 0^+} g(t, 1/t)$ and $q = \lim_{t\to 0^+} g(t, -1/t)$ in $\mathbb{RP}^m$.

Hence, since $p, q \in T_{0,\infty} \subset S_\infty$ and $T_{0,\infty}$ is, by 3.3 for $n = 2$, connected, we are done. □

Now, we prove Theorem 3.3 in its full generality.

Proof of Theorem 3.3 *for an arbitrary n.* The case $n = 1$ follows from [F, 1.4] and the case $n = 2$ has been already proved above. Thus, we may assume that $n \geqslant 3$. We write $f = (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \ldots, \frac{f_m}{f_0})$ where each $f_j \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}]$, f_0 does not vanish on $\mathbb{R}^n$ and $\gcd(f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_m) = 1$. Assume that $\deg(f_1) \geqslant \deg(f_j)$ for $j = 1, \ldots, m$; by means of a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^m$ of the type $(y_1, \ldots, y_m) \mapsto (y_1, y_2 + b_2 y_1, \ldots, y_m + b_m y_1)$ (where $b_j \in \mathbb{R}$), we may assume that

$$\deg(f_1) = \cdots = \deg(f_m) = d > \deg(f_0) = d - e$$

for some $e \geqslant 1$. We denote $F_j := \mathtt{x}_0^d f_j(\frac{\mathtt{x}_1}{\mathtt{x}_0}, \ldots, \frac{\mathtt{x}_n}{\mathtt{x}_0})$ and $F_0 = \mathtt{x}_0^e F_0'$, where $e \geqslant 1$ and $F_0' \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_0, \mathtt{x}_1, \ldots, \mathtt{x}_n]$ is not divisible by $\mathtt{x}_0$. Notice that $\mathtt{x}_0$ does not divide F_j for $j = 1, \ldots, m$, because $\deg(f_j) = \deg(F_j) = d$. Moreover, after a linear change of coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^n$ of the type $(x_1, \ldots, x_m) \mapsto (x_1, x_2 + a_2 x_1, \ldots, x_n + a_n x_1)$ (where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$), we may assume that the degree of each f_j coincides with their degrees with respect to the variable $\mathtt{x}_1$.

To prove that S_∞ is connected, it is enough to show that there exists a point $p_0 \in S_\infty$ such that for any other point $q \in S_\infty$, there exists a connected subset $T \subset S_\infty$ containing p_0 and q. We choose

$$p_0 := \lim_{t\to 0^+} (F_0 : F_1 : \ldots : F_m)(1 : 1/t : 0 : \ldots : 0) \in \mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$$

(where the limit is taken in $\mathbb{RP}^m$) and let $q \in S_\infty$. By 2.5, there exist

- integers r, k_i with $r \geqslant 1$ and $k_{i_0} = \min\{k_1, \ldots, k_n\} < 0$, and
- polynomials $\mathtt{p}_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ with $\mathtt{p}_i(0) \neq 0$ for $i \neq i_0$ and $\mathtt{p}_{i_0} \equiv \pm 1$,

such that for each $\beta \in (\mathtt{t})^r \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]^n$ we have $q = \lim_{t\to 0^+}(f \circ (\alpha + \beta))(t)$ where $\alpha(\mathtt{t}) = (\mathtt{t}^{k_1}\mathtt{p}_1, \mathtt{t}^{k_2}\mathtt{p}_2, \ldots, \mathtt{t}^{k_n}\mathtt{p}_n)$. After the change $\mathtt{t} \to \mathtt{t}^6$, we may assume that $k_{i_0} \leqslant -6$ and even. For simplicity, we keep all the initial notations.

Write $\mathtt{p}_i = \sum_{j=0}^{d_i} a_{ij}\mathtt{t}^j$ where $d_i = \deg(\mathtt{p}_i)$ and consider the formula

$$P_i(\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}) := \sum_{j+k_i<0} a_{ij}\mathtt{y}^{|k_i+j|} + \sum_{j+k_i\geqslant 0} a_{ij}\frac{\mathtt{x}^{e_j}\mathtt{y}}{((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2 + \mathtt{y}^4)^{q_j}},$$

where $j + k_i + 1 = 4q_j + e_j$, $q_j \geqslant 0$ and $0 \leqslant e_j \leqslant 3$ for each $0 \leqslant j \leqslant d_i$ such that $j + k_i \geqslant 0$. Observe that $P_i(\mathtt{t}, \frac{1}{\mathtt{t}}) = \mathtt{t}^{k_i}\mathtt{p}_i(\mathtt{t})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n$ and $P_{i_0} = \mathrm{p}_{i_0}\mathtt{y}^{|k_{i_0}|} = \pm\mathtt{y}^{|k_{i_0}|}$.

Denote $\ell_0 := \max_i\{q_{d_i} :\ d_i + k_i \geqslant 0\}$ and notice that $((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2 + \mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}, \mathtt{y}]$ for each $\ell \geqslant \ell_0$. Moreover,

$$\begin{aligned}\deg(((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2 + \mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i) &\leqslant \max\{-k_i + 4\ell, 4\ell - 4q_j + e_j + 1 :\ k_i + j \geqslant 0\}\\ &\leqslant 4\ell + \max\{-k_i, 4\} \leqslant 4\ell + |k_{i_0}|\end{aligned}$$

and the equality $\deg(((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2 + \mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i) = 4\ell + |k_{i_0}|$ holds if and only if $k_i = k_{i_0}$. Moreover, since $|k_{i_0}| \geqslant 6$,

$$\deg_{\mathtt{x}}(((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2 + \mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i) \leqslant \left\{\begin{matrix} 2(\ell - q_{d_i}) + 3 & \text{if } k_i + d_i \geqslant 0\\ 2\ell & \text{if } k_i + d_i < 0\end{matrix}\right\} < 2\ell + 4 < 4\ell + |k_{i_0}|$$

for all $i = 1, \ldots, n$.

Let $\ell := \max\{\ell_0, r\}$ and define

$$h_i(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) := \begin{cases} ((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2+\mathtt{y}^4)^\ell & \text{if } i=0,\\ ((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2+\mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_1(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) + \mathrm{p}_1(0)\mathtt{x}^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|} & \text{if } i=1,\\ ((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2+\mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) & \text{if } i=2,\dots,n. \end{cases}$$

Consider the regular map $h := (\frac{h_1}{h_0},\dots,\frac{h_n}{h_0}) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^n$ and observe that

$$h(\mathtt{t},0) = (\mathrm{p}_1(0)\mathtt{t}^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|},0,\dots,0) \quad\text{and}\quad h\Big(\mathtt{t},\frac{1}{\mathtt{t}}\Big) = \alpha(\mathtt{t}) + (\mathrm{p}_1(0)\mathtt{t}^{8\ell+|k_{i_0}|},0,\dots,0);$$

hence, $\lim_{t\to 0^+}(f\circ h)(1/t,0) = p_0$ and $\lim_{t\to 0^+}(f\circ h)(t,1/t) = q$.

Next, consider the regular map $g := f\circ h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^m$. Denote $g_i := F_i(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_n) \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{u}_1,\mathtt{u}_2]$ for $i = 0,1,\dots,m$ and observe that $g = (\frac{g_1}{g_0},\dots,\frac{g_m}{g_0})$ and g_0 does not vanish at any point of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Next, we prove that g is a quasi-polynomial map. First, we have

- $T_0 = \operatorname{im}(g) \subset \operatorname{im}(f) = S$ and so $T_{0,\infty} \subset S_\infty$.
- $p_0 = \lim_{t\to 0^+} g(1/t,0)$ and $q = \lim_{t\to 0^+} g(t,1/t)$ in $\mathbb{RP}^m$.
- $g_0 = ((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-1)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^{e\ell}F_0'(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_n)$.
- $\deg(g_0) = d(4\ell+|k_{i_0}|) - e|k_{i_0}| < d(4\ell+|k_{i_0}|) = \max\{\deg(g_1),\dots,\deg(g_m)\}$.

Indeed, since

- $\deg(F_0') = \deg(f_0) = \deg_{\mathtt{x}_1}(f_0) = d-e$,
- $\deg(F_j) = \deg(f_j) = \deg_{\mathtt{x}_1}(f_j) = d$,
- $\deg(h_i) \leqslant 4\ell+|k_{i_0}|$, $\deg(h_1) = 4\ell+|k_{i_0}|$ and
- $(h_0,h_1,\dots,h_n)(\mathtt{t},0) = (1,\mathrm{p}_1(0)\mathtt{t}^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|},0,\dots,0)$,

we have

$$\deg(g_0) = 4e\ell + (d-e)(4\ell+|k_{i_0}|) = d(4\ell+|k_{i_0}|) - e|k_{i_0}| < d(4\ell+|k_{i_0}|) = \deg(g_j)$$

for each $j = 1,\dots,m$.

Now, let $\mu := 4\ell+|k_{i_0}|$ and write $H_i := \mathtt{u}_0^\mu h_i(\frac{\mathtt{u}_1}{\mathtt{u}_0},\frac{\mathtt{u}_2}{\mathtt{u}_0})$ and $G_j := \mathtt{u}_0^{d\mu} g_j(\frac{\mathtt{u}_1}{\mathtt{u}_0},\frac{\mathtt{u}_2}{\mathtt{u}_0})$ which are homogeneous polynomials of the ring $\mathbb{R}[\mathtt{u}_0,\mathtt{u}_1,\mathtt{u}_2]$. Notice that

$$G_j = \mathtt{u}_0^{d\mu} F_j\Big(h_0\Big(\frac{\mathtt{u}_1}{\mathtt{u}_0},\frac{\mathtt{u}_2}{\mathtt{u}_0}\Big), h_1\Big(\frac{\mathtt{u}_1}{\mathtt{u}_0},\frac{\mathtt{u}_2}{\mathtt{u}_0}\Big),\dots,h_n\Big(\frac{\mathtt{u}_1}{\mathtt{u}_0},\frac{\mathtt{u}_2}{\mathtt{u}_0}\Big)\Big) = F_j(H_0,H_1,\dots,H_n).$$

and $G_0 = \mathtt{u}_0^{e|k_{i_0}|}G_0'$, where $G_0' := ((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-\mathtt{u}_0^2)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^{e\ell}F_0'(H_0,H_1,\dots,H_n)$. Counting degrees one realizes that $\mathtt{u}_0$ does not divide G_0'.

In what follows all the zero sets are considered in $\mathbb{RP}^n$. To prove that g is quasi-polynomial it only remains to check that $\{G_0' = 0, G_1 = 0,\dots,G_m = 0\} = \varnothing$. Since $\{F_0' = 0, F_1 = 0,\dots,F_n = 0\} = \varnothing$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned}&\{G_0' = 0, G_1 = 0,\dots,G_m = 0\}\\ &\qquad = \{H_0 = 0,\dots,H_n = 0\} \cup \{((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-\mathtt{u}_0^2)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^{e\ell} = 0, G_1 = 0,\dots,G_m = 0\}.\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
H_0(\mathtt{u}_0,\mathtt{u}_1,\mathtt{u}_2) &= u_0^{|k_{i_0}|}((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-\mathtt{u}_0^2)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^\ell,\\
H_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1,\mathtt{u}_2) &= \begin{cases} \mathrm{p}_1(0)\mathtt{u}_1^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|} & \text{if } k_{i0}<k_1,\\ \mathrm{p}_1(0)\left((\mathtt{u}_1^2\mathtt{u}_2^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^\ell\mathtt{u}_2^{|k_{i_0}|}+\mathtt{u}_1^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|}\right) & \text{if } k_{i0}=k_1,\end{cases}\\
H_i(0,0,\mathtt{u}_2) &= \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } i\geqslant 2 \text{ and } k_{i0}<k_i,\\ \mathrm{p}_\mathrm{i}(0)\mathtt{u}_2^{4\ell+|k_{i_0}|} & \text{if } i\geqslant 2 \text{ and } k_{i0}=k_i,\end{cases}
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $H_0=0$ provides $\mathtt{u}_0=0$, $H_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1,\mathtt{u}_2)=0$ provides $\mathtt{u}_1=0$ (we have used here that k_{i_0} is even) and $H_{i_0}(0,0,\mathtt{u}_2)=0$ provides $\mathtt{u}_2=0$; hence, $\{H_0=0,\ldots,H_n=0\}=\varnothing$. On the other hand, $((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-\mathtt{u}_0^2)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^{e\ell}=0$ provides $\mathtt{u}_0=0,\mathtt{u}_2=0$. Therefore, since $G_1=0$, we deduce that

$$0=G_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1,0)=F_1(H_0(0,\mathtt{u}_1,0),H_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1,0),\ldots,H_n(0,\mathtt{u}_1,0))=F_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1^\mu,0,\ldots,0);$$

for the last equality recall that $\deg_\mathtt{x}(((\mathtt{x}\mathtt{y}-1)^2+\mathtt{y}^4)^\ell P_i)<4\ell+|k_{i_0}|$ for all $i=1,\ldots,n$. Since $\deg(F_1)=\deg(f_1)=\deg_{\mathtt{x}_1}(f_1)=\deg_{\mathtt{x}_1}(F_1)$, we have $a=F_1(0,1,0,\ldots,0)\neq 0$. Thus,

$$0=F_1(0,\mathtt{u}_1^\mu,0,\ldots,0)=a\mathtt{u}_1^{d\mu};$$

implies $\mathtt{u}_1=0$; hence, $\{((\mathtt{u}_1\mathtt{u}_2-\mathtt{u}_0^2)^2+\mathtt{u}_2^4)^{e\ell}=0,G_1=0,\ldots,G_m=0\}=\varnothing$ and so $\{G_0'=0,G_1=0,\ldots,G_m=0\}=\varnothing$. Therefore, $g:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^m$ is a quasi-polynomial map.

Now, by 3.3 for $n=2$ (already proved) applied to g, we deduce that $T_{0,\infty}=(g(\mathbb{R}^2))_\infty$ is connected and since $p_0,q\in T_{0,\infty}$, we are done. □

It is clear that the set of infinite points of a semialgebraic set $S\subset\mathbb{R}^m$ is a semialgebraic subset of the hyperplane of infinity $\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{RP}^m$. In particular, this happens if S is a polynomial or regular image of some $\mathbb{R}^n$. Arrived at this point it seems reasonable to ask the following.

Question 3.4. Let S_0 be a connected closed semialgebraic subset of the hyperplane of infinity $\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{RP}^m$. *Is there a polynomial (or a quasi-polynomial) map* $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$ *such that* $f(\mathbb{R}^n)_\infty=\mathsf{S}_0$*?*.

For $m=2$ the answer is positive (see 3.5) but we do not know what happens for $m\geqslant 3$.

Examples 3.5. For each connected closed semialgebraic subset $T\subset\ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ there exists a polynomial map $f:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\dim(f(\mathbb{R}^2))=2$ and $(f(\mathbb{R}^2))_\infty=T$. Indeed, by [U2, 1.2], our assertion is true if T is not a singleton. In case T is a singleton, we may assume that $T=\{(0:1:0)\}$; a straightforward computation shows that the image of the polynomial map $f:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$, $(x,y)\mapsto(x,y^2+x^2)$ satisfies that $f(\mathbb{R}^2))_\infty=\{(0:1:0)\}$.

Remark 3.6. One can think about studying the quasi-polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^n$ or even more its relation with the polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^2$. However, the behavior of such maps under composition is far from the desired one and this fact makes quite difficult the development of a similar theory. In fact, we have introduced such maps more to understand the obstruction for the image of a regular map to have its set of infinite points connected than for further purposes.

(i) While the composition of polynomial and regular maps is respectively again a polynomial or regular map, in general, the composition of quasi-polynomial maps is not again a quasi-polynomial map. Consider for instance the quasi-polynomial maps

$g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto (x,y^2)$ and $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto (\frac{x^3}{1+x^2+y^2}, \frac{y^3}{1+x^2+y^2})$ whose composition is not a quasi-polynomial map.

(ii) Moreover, the image of the quasi-polynomial map $g : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ t \mapsto (\frac{1}{1+t^2}, 1+t^2)$ is the semialgebraic set $S = \{xy = 1, y \geqslant 1\}$ which is not a polynomial image, because just the product of constant polynomials is a constant polynomial.

4. Set of infinite points of a regular image of $\mathbb{R}^n$

We have proved in Section 3 that the set of infinite points of the image of a polynomial map $f : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is connected. In fact, the same property is still true for a large family of regular maps called quasi-polynomial maps. However, we will see in this section that the result is no further true in general for regular maps, even if $n = 1$. We present some examples to illustrate several situations. In particular, we show that the conditions in the statement of Theorem 3.3 are sharp.

Examples 4.1. (i) The image of the regular map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto \Big(\frac{(xy-1)^2+x^2}{1+(xy-1)^2+x^2}, \frac{1+(xy-1)^2+x^2}{(xy-1)^2+x^2}\Big),$$

is the 1-dimensional semialgebraic set $S := \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ a > 0, ab = 1\}$. Observe that $S_\infty = \{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$, which is disconnected.

(ii) The image of the regular map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto \Big(\frac{x^2}{1+y^2}, \frac{y^2}{1+x^2}\Big),$$

is the semialgebraic set $S := \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0, ab < 1\}$. Observe that $S_\infty = \{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$, which is disconnected. Note that if we write $f = (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \frac{f_2}{f_0})$, where $f_0, f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_2]$ are nonzero polynomials, then $\deg(f_0) = \max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2)\}$.

(iii) The image of the regular map

$$f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto \Big(\frac{(1+x^4)y^6}{(1+y^4)^2}, \frac{(1+y^4)x^4}{(1+x^4)^3}\Big)$$

is a semialgebraic set S such that $S_\infty = \{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$, which is disconnected. If we write $f = (\frac{f_1}{f_0}, \frac{f_2}{f_0})$, where $f_0, f_1, f_2 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{x}_1, \mathtt{x}_2]$ are nonzero polynomials, we have that $\deg(f_0) < \max\{\deg(f_1), \deg(f_2)\}$. However, the set $Y_\mathbb{R} = \{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$ of points of indeterminacy of the rational map $F = (F_0 : F_1 : F_2) : \mathbb{RP}^2 \dashrightarrow \mathbb{RP}^2$ is contained in the set $\{F_0' = 0\}$, where $F_0' = (\mathtt{x}_0^4 + \mathtt{x}_1^4)^3(\mathtt{x}_0^4 + \mathtt{x}_2^4)^2$ and $F_0 = \mathtt{x}_0^2 F_0'$.

Proof. Observe first that $f(\mathbb{R}^2) \subset \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ a^2 b \leqslant 1, a \geqslant 0, b \geqslant 0\}$. This is so because

$$\Big(\frac{(1+x^4)y^6}{(1+y^4)^2}\Big)^2 \Big(\frac{(1+y^4)x^4}{(1+x^4)^3}\Big) = \Big(\frac{y^4}{1+y^4}\Big)^3 \Big(\frac{x^4}{1+x^4}\Big) \leqslant 1.$$

Thus, $S_\infty \subset \{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$. To prove the converse inclusion, we may find two rational parametrizations $\alpha_i : (0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^2$ such that $\lim_{t\to 0^+} \|\alpha_i(t)\|^2 = +\infty$ and which satisfy

$$\lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{g_1}{g_0}(\alpha_1(t)) = +\infty,\ \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{g_2}{g_0}(\alpha_1(t)) = 0,\quad \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{g_1}{g_0}(\alpha_2(t)) = 0,\ \lim_{t\to 0^+} \frac{g_2}{g_0}(\alpha_2(t)) = +\infty.$$

Taking $\alpha_1(\mathtt{t}) := (\frac{1}{\mathtt{t}}, 1)$ and $\alpha_2(t) := (1, \frac{1}{\mathtt{t}})$, we are done. □

At this point the following question arises naturally.

Question 4.2. Given a closed semialgebraic subset $R \subset \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R}) \subset \mathbb{RP}^2$, *Is there a regular map* $f : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ *such that* $(f(\mathbb{R}^2))_\infty = R$*?*

In case R is either connected or a finite set the answer is positive. The connected case follows from the polynomial case (see 3.5) and the finite case is proved next.

Proposition 4.3. *Let* $H_i := c_i\mathtt{x} - d_i\mathtt{y}$ *be linear (homogeneous non trivial) equations, for* $i = 1, \ldots, r$*, such that the lines* $\ell_i := \{H_i = 0\}$ *are pairwise different and* $c_i d_i \neq 0$*; denote* $p_i := (0 : d_i^2 : c_i^2)$*. Then, the image of the regular map*

$$h = (h_1, h_2) : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2, \ (x, y) \mapsto \Big(\frac{x^2}{1 + \prod_{i=1}^r H_i(x,y)^2}, \frac{y^2}{1 + \prod_{i=1}^r H_i(x,y)^2}\Big)$$

is a semialgebraic set S *such that* $S_\infty = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$*.*

Proof. We begin by proving the inclusion $S_\infty \subset \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$.

Indeed, a straightforward computation shows that the Jacobian of h is not identically zero and so $S = h(\mathbb{R}^2)$ has dimension 2. In fact, we know that S is pure dimensional, because it is a regular image. Thus, if

$$S' := S \backslash (h(\{x = 0\}) \cup h(\{y = 0\}) \cup h(\{\mathrm{Jac}(h) = 0\})),$$

we have $\mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^2}(S') = \mathrm{Cl}_{\mathbb{RP}^2}(S)$. Fix a point $p \in S_\infty$; by 2.5, we may assume, after reordering the variables and changing $\mathtt{x}$ by $-\mathtt{x}$ if necessary, that there exist a polynomial $\mathrm{p} \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ with $\mathrm{p}(0) \neq 0$ and integers k, ℓ with $-k = \min\{-k, \ell\} < 0$ such that if $\alpha := (\mathtt{t}^{-k}, \mathtt{t}^{\ell}\mathrm{p})$ we have $\lim_{t \to 0^+}(h \circ \alpha) = p$ and $(h \circ \alpha)((0, \varepsilon)) \subset S'$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ small enough. Observe that after our change of variables the structure of h does not change; hence, for simplicity we keep the same notations. Of course, since $p \in \ell_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ at least one of the following limits is infinity:

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+}(h_1 \circ \alpha)(t) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t^{2k(r-1)}}{t^{2kr} + \prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1, t^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(t))^2},$$

$$\lim_{t \to 0^+}(h_2 \circ \alpha)(t) = \lim_{t \to 0^+} \frac{t^{2kr+2\ell}\mathrm{p}(t)^2}{t^{2kr} + \prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1, t^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(t))^2}.$$

Notice that:

1. The first limit is infinity if and only if $\prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1, \mathtt{t}^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(\mathtt{t}))^2 = \mathtt{t}^{\nu_1}\mathrm{q}_1(\mathtt{t})$ for some $\mathrm{q}_1 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ and an integer $\nu_1 \geqslant 2k(r-1) + 1$.
2. The second limit is infinity if and only if $\ell < 0$ and $\prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1, \mathtt{t}^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(\mathtt{t}))^2 = \mathtt{t}^{\nu_2}\mathrm{q}_2(\mathtt{t})$ for some $\mathrm{q}_2 \in \mathbb{R}[\mathtt{t}]$ and an integer $\nu_2 \geqslant 2kr + 2\ell + 1$.

In both cases, using that $k + \ell \geqslant 0$, we deduce that there is an index $i = 1, \ldots, r$ such that $\lim_{t \to 0^+} H_i(1, t^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(t)) = 0$; hence, since by hypothesis $c_i, d_i \neq 0$, we conclude that $\ell = -k < 0$ and $\mathrm{p}(0) = \frac{c_i}{d_i}$. Now, taking into account if either (1) or (2) holds, we denote $\mu := \nu_i - 2k(r-1) - 1 \geqslant 0$ and $\mathrm{q} := \mathrm{q}_i$. Thus, we have

$$\mathtt{t}^{2kr} + \prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1, \mathtt{t}^{k+\ell}\mathrm{p}(\mathtt{t}))^2 = \mathtt{t}^{2k(r-1)+1}\mathtt{t}^{\mu}\mathrm{q}$$

Having all above in mind, we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}p&=\lim_{t\to 0^+}(t^{2kr}+\prod_{i=1}^r H_i(1,\mathrm{p}(t))^2:t^{2k(r-1)}:t^{2kr+2\ell}\mathrm{p}(t)^2)\\&=\lim_{t\to 0^+}(t^{2k(r-1)+1}t^{\mu}\mathrm{q}(t):t^{2k(r-1)}:t^{2k(r-1)}\mathrm{p}(t)^2)=\lim_{t\to 0^+}(t^{\mu+1}\mathrm{q}(t):1:\mathrm{p}(t)^2)\\&=\Big(0:1:\frac{c_i^2}{d_i^2}\Big);\end{aligned}$$

hence, $S_\infty\subset\{p_1,\dots,p_r\}$. Conversely,

$$h\Big(\frac{d_i}{t},\frac{c_i}{t}\Big)=\Big(\frac{d_i^2}{t^2},\frac{c_i^2}{t^2}\Big)\equiv(t^2:d_i^2:c_i^2)\xrightarrow{t\to 0^+}(0:d_i^2:c_i^2)=p_i;$$

hence, $\{p_1,\dots,p_r\}\subset S_\infty$, as wanted. □

We present next a further example concerning Question 4.2 in which the set of infinite points of the involved regular image has exactly two 1-dimensional connected components.

Example 4.4. There exists a regular map $f:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2$ whose image S satisfies

$$S_\infty=\{(0:u:1):\ 0\leqslant u\leqslant 1/2\}\cup\{(0:1:v):\ 0\leqslant v\leqslant 1/2\}.$$

Proof. We construct f in several steps:

(4.4.1) *Let* $T:=\{(a,b)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\ 0<a\leqslant 1,b>0\}\cup\{(a,b)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\ 0<b\leqslant 1,a>0\}$. *The image of the regular map*

$$g:\mathbb{R}^2\to\mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y)\mapsto\Big(\frac{x^2+1}{1+x^2y^2},\frac{y^2+1}{1+x^2y^2}\Big),$$

is a semialgebraic set S_1 *satisfying* $T\subset S_1\subset T\cup[0,2]^2\subset\{a>0,b>0\}$. In particular, $S_{1,\infty}=\{(0:1:0),(0:0:1)\}$.

Indeed, first let us check that $S_1\subset T\cup[0,2]^2$. Let $(x,y)\in\mathbb{R}^2$ and suppose that $f_3(x,y)=(a,b)$ satisfies that $b>2$; we claim that $0<a\leqslant 1$. It is clear that $a>0$ and suppose also that $a>1$. Then, $x^2>x^2y^2$ and $y^2>1+2x^2y^2$; hence,

$$x^2<x^2+2x^4y^2<x^2y^2<x^2,$$

a contradiction. By symmetry, if $a>2$, we have $0<b\leqslant 1$ and so $S_1\subset T\cup[0,2]^2$. Next, let us check that $T\subset S_1$. Again by symmetry, it is enough to prove that $T_1:=\{(a,b)\in\mathbb{R}^2:\ 0<a\leqslant 1,b>0\}\subset S_1$. Let $(a,b)\in T_1$ and consider the system of equations

$$\begin{cases}x^2+1=a(1+x^2y^2),\\ y^2+1=b(1+x^2y^2).\end{cases}\quad\rightsquigarrow\quad\begin{cases}b(x^2+1)-a(y^2+1)=0,\\ ay^4+(a-1-b)y^2+b-1=0.\end{cases}$$

A simple discussion shows that both systems of equations above are equivalent. The discriminant Δ of the biquadratic equation $ay^4+(a-1-b)y^2+b-1=0$ is

$$(a-1-b)^2-4a(b-1)=(b-3a+1)^2+8a(1-a)\geqslant 0,$$

because $0<a\leqslant 1$. Since $a-1-b<0$, the real number

$$z_0:=\frac{b+(1-a)+\sqrt{(b-3a+1)^2+8a(1-a)}}{2a}$$

is positive and has a square root y_0, which is a solution of the biquadratic equation $ay^4 + (a-1-b)y^2 + b - 1 = 0$. Next, we need that the equation $b(x^2+1) - a(y_0^2+1) = 0$ has a real solution x_0, which happens if

$$0 < 2(a(y_0^2+1) - b) = 2az_0 + 2a - 2b = -b + 1 + a + \sqrt{(b-3a+1)^2 + 8a(1-a)}$$

or equivalently if

$$0 < (b-3a+1)^2 + 8a(1-a) - (b-1-a)^2 = 4b(1-a),$$

but this follows from the fact that $b > 0, a < 1$; hence $(a,b) \in S_1$, and we are done.

(4.4.2) *Let* $B_1 := \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ 0 < 2a \leqslant b\}$ *and* $B_2 := \{(a,b) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ 0 < 2b \leqslant a\}$. *Write also* $A_1 := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ 0 < x \leqslant 1,\ 4 \leqslant y\}$ *and* $A_2 := \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{R}^2 :\ 0 < y \leqslant 1,\ 4 \leqslant x\}$. *The image of the semialgebraic set* $A := A_1 \cup A_2$ *under the regular map*

$$h : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2,\ (x,y) \mapsto \Big(\frac{x((y-1)^2y^2 + 2(x-1)^2x)}{1 + x(x-1)^2y(y-1)^2}, \frac{y((x-1)^2x^2 + 2(y-1)^2y)}{1 + x(x-1)^2y(y-1)^2}\Big),$$

is a semialgebraic set S_2 *contained in* $B := B_1 \cup B_2$ *which satisfy*

$$S_{2,\infty} = B_{1,\infty} \cup B_{2,\infty} = \{(0:u:1) :\ 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1/2\} \cup \{(0:1:v) :\ 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1/2\}.$$

Write first $h = (\frac{h_1}{h_0}, \frac{h_2}{h_0})$, where

$$h_0(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) := 1 + \mathtt{x}(\mathtt{x}-1)^2\mathtt{y}(\mathtt{y}-1)^2,$$
$$h_1(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) := \mathtt{x}((\mathtt{y}-1)^2\mathtt{y}^2 + 2(\mathtt{x}-1)^2\mathtt{x}),$$
$$h_2(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y}) := \mathtt{y}((\mathtt{x}-1)^2\mathtt{x}^2 + 2(\mathtt{y}-1)^2\mathtt{y}).$$

Since $h_1(\mathtt{y},\mathtt{x}) = h_2(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y})$ and $h_0(\mathtt{y},\mathtt{x}) = h_0(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y})$, we have $\frac{h_1(\mathtt{y},\mathtt{x})}{h_0(\mathtt{y},\mathtt{x})} = \frac{h_2(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y})}{h_0(\mathtt{x},\mathtt{y})}$, and so it is enough to prove that $h(A_1) \subset B_1$ and $(h(A_1))_\infty = B_{1,\infty}$.

Indeed, let $(x,y) \in A_1$ and observe that $h_1(x,y) > 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(x,y) - 2h_1(x,y) &= y((x-1)^2x^2 + 2(y-1)^2y) - 2(x((y-1)^2y^2 + 2(x-1)^2x)) \\ &= 2(1-x)(y-1)^2y^2 + (y-4)(x-1)^2x^2 \geqslant 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $0 < x \leqslant 1$ and $y \geqslant 4$; hence, $h(x,y) \in B_1$. Therefore, $(h(A_1))_\infty \subset B_{1,\infty}$ and it only remains to check the converse inclusion $B_{1,\infty} \subset (h(A_1))_\infty$.

Indeed, for each $0 < \lambda \leqslant 1$ consider the half-line $x = \lambda, y = t \geqslant 4$ and the curve $C_\lambda \subset h(A_1)$ parametrized by

$$\alpha_\lambda(t) = (\alpha_{\lambda 1}(t), \alpha_{\lambda 2}(t)) := g(\lambda,t) = \Big(\frac{\lambda(t-1)^2t^2 + 2\lambda\mu_\lambda}{1 + \mu_\lambda t(t-1)^2}, \frac{2(t-1)^2t^2 + \lambda\mu_\lambda t}{1 + \mu_\lambda t(t-1)^2}\Big)$$

where $\mu_\lambda := \lambda(\lambda-1)^2$. Since

$$\lim_{t\to+\infty} \alpha_{\lambda 1}(t) = +\infty, \qquad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \alpha_{\lambda 2}(t) = +\infty \qquad \text{and} \qquad \lim_{t\to+\infty} \frac{\alpha_{\lambda 1}(t)}{\alpha_{\lambda 2}(t)} = \frac{\lambda}{2},$$

we deduce that $C_{\lambda,\infty} = \{(0 : \frac{\lambda}{2} : 1)\}$; hence,

$$B_{1,\infty} = \bigcup_{0<\lambda\leqslant 1} C_{\lambda,\infty} \subset \Big(\bigcup_{0<\lambda\leqslant 1} C_\lambda\Big)_\infty = (h(A_1))_\infty,$$

and we are done.

(4.4.3) Now, the image of the regular map $f := h \circ g : \mathbb{R}^2 \to \mathbb{R}^2$ is a semialgebraic set S such that $S_\infty = \{(0:u:1) :\ 0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1/2\} \cup \{(0:1:v) :\ 0 \leqslant v \leqslant 1/2\}$, as wanted. □

More generally, it seems reasonable to ask also the following:

Question 4.5. Let S_0 be a closed semialgebraic subset of the hyperplane of infinity $\mathsf{H}_\infty(\mathbb{R})$ of $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{P}^m$. *Is there a regular map* $f:\mathbb{R}^n\to\mathbb{R}^m$ *such that* $(f(\mathbb{R}^n))_\infty = S_0$*?.*

REFERENCES

[BCR] J. Bochnak, M. Coste, M.F. Roy: Real algebraic geometry. *Ergeb. Math.* **36**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin: 1998.

[F] J.F. Fernando: On the one dimensional polynomial and regular images of $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Preprint RAAG* 2012. (`http://www.mat.ucm.es/~josefer/pdfs/preprint/ot1dpariorn.pdf`)

[FG1] J.F. Fernando, J.M. Gamboa: Polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^n$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **179** (2003), no. 3, 241–254.

[FG2] J.F. Fernando, J.M. Gamboa: Polynomial and regular images of $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Israel J. Math.* **153** (2006), 61–92.

[FG3] J.F. Fernando, J.M. Gamboa: On the irreducible components of a semialgebraic set. *Internat. J. Math.* **XXX** (2011, accepted), no. X, XXX-XXX.

[FGU1] J.F. Fernando, J.M. Gamboa, C. Ueno: On complements of convex polyhedra as polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Work in progress* 2012.

[FGU2] J.F. Fernando, J.M. Gamboa, C. Ueno: On convex polyhedra as regular images of $\mathbb{R}^n$. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.* (3) **140**, no. 4, 1207-1219 (2012).

[G] J.M. Gamboa: Reelle algebraische Geometrie, June, $10^{\text{th}} - 16^{\text{th}}$ (1990), Oberwolfach.

[Ha] J. Harris: Algebraic Geometry. A first course. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **133**. Springer-Verlag, New York: 1992.

[H1] R. Hartshorne: Ample subvarieties of algebraic varieties. Notes written in collaboration with C. Musili. *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, **156** Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York: 1970

[H2] R. Hartshorne: Algebraic geometry. *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*, **52** Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg: 1977.

[J1] Z. Jelonek: Testing sets for properness of polynomial mappings. *Math. Ann.* **315** (1999), no. 1, 1–35.

[J2] Z. Jelonek: Geometry of real polynomial mappings. *Math. Z.*, **239** (2002), no. 2, 321–333.

[M] D. Mumford: Algebraic geometry. I. Complex projective varieties. *Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften*, **221**. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York: 1976.

[P] S. Pinchuk: A counterexample to the real Jacobian Conjecture, *Math. Z.* **217** (1994), 1-4.

[Sh1] I.R. Shafarevich: Basic Algebraic Geometry I. Varieties in projective space. Second edition. Translated from the 1988 Russian edition and with notes by Miles Reid. Springer-Verlag, Berlin: 1994.

[Sh2] I.R. Shafarevich: Basic Algebraic Geometry II. Schemes and complex manifolds. Second edition. Translated from the 1988 Russian edition by Miles Reid. Springer-Verlag, Berlin: 1994.

[S] E.H. Spanier: Algebraic topology. Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin: 1981.

[U1] C. Ueno: A note on boundaries of open polynomial images of $\mathbb{R}^2$. *Rev. Mat. Iberoam.* **24** (2008), 981-988.

[U2] C. Ueno: On convex polygons and their complements as images of regular and polynomial maps of $\mathbb{R}^2$. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **XXX** (2011, accepted), no. X, XXX-XXX.

DEPARTAMENTO DE ÁLGEBRA, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS MATEMÁTICAS, UNIVERSIDAD COMPLUTENSE DE MADRID, 28040 MADRID (SPAIN)

E-mail address: `josefer@mat.ucm.es`

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, IES LA VEGA DE SAN JOSÉ, PASEO DE SAN JOSÉ, S/N, LAS PALMAS DE GRAN CANARIA, 35015 LAS PALMAS (SPAIN).

E-mail address: `carlos.ueno@terra.es`